A global spatially contiguous solar-induced fluorescence (CSIF) dataset using neural networks

Zhang, Yao¹; Joiner, Joanna²; Seyed Hamed Alemohammad³; Zhou, Sha¹; Gentine, Pierre⁴¹ Department of Earth and Environmental Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA² NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA³ Radiant Earth, Washington, DC 20005, USA⁴ Department of Earth and Environmental Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA; Earth Institute, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA . Biogeosciences ; Katlenburg-Lindau Vol. 15, Iss. 19, (2018): 5779-5800.

ProQuest document link

ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)

Satellite-retrieved solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) has shown great potential to monitor the photosynthetic activity of terrestrial ecosystems. However, several issues, including low spatial and temporal resolution of the gridded datasets and high uncertainty of the individual retrievals, limit the applications of SIF. In addition, inconsistency in measurement footprints also hinders the direct comparison between gross primary production (GPP) from eddy covariance (EC) flux towers and satellite-retrieved SIF. In this study, by training a neural network (NN) with surface reflectance from the MODerate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) and SIF from Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2), we generated two global spatially contiguous SIF (CSIF) datasets at moderate spatiotemporal (0.05 4-day) resolutions during the MODIS era, one for clear-sky conditions (2000–2017) and the other one in all-sky conditions (2000–2016). The clear-sky instantaneous CSIF (CSIF clear-inst) shows high accuracy against the clear-sky OCO-2 SIF and little bias across biome types. The all-sky daily average CSIF (CSIF_{all-daily}) dataset exhibits strong spatial, seasonal and interannual dynamics that are consistent with daily SIF from OCO-2 and the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment-2 (GOME-2). An increasing trend (0.39%) of annual average CSIF is also found, confirming the greening of Earth in most regions. Since the difference between satellite-observed SIF and CSIF is mostly caused by the environmental down-regulation on SIF_{yield}, the ratio between OCO-2 SIF and CSIF can be an effective indicator of drought stress that is more sensitive than the normalized difference vegetation index and enhanced vegetation index. By comparing CSIF estimates from 40 EC flux towers across the globe, we find a large cross-site variation (c.v. = 0.36) of the GPP-SIF relationship with the highest regression slopes for evergreen needleleaf forest. However, the cross-biome variation is relatively limited (c.v. = 0.15). These two contiguous SIF datasets and the derived GPP-SIF relationship enable a better understanding of the spatial and temporal variations of the GPP across biomes and climate.

DETAILS

Subject: Temporal resolution; Datasets; Ecosystems; Chlorophylls; Daily; Vegetation; Drought; Neural networks; Primary production; Eddy covariance; Chlorophyll; Resolution; Ozone; Towers; Training; Fluorescence; Reflectance; Covariance; Terrestrial ecosystems; Temporal variations; Satellite observation; Spectroradiometers; Photosynthesis; Greening; Satellites; Data sets; Ozone monitoring; Environmental regulations; Pollution monitoring; Spatial discrimination; Slopes (topography); Terrestrial environments; Imaging techniques; Dynamics; Droughts; Global ozone; Vegetative index; Earth; MODIS; Vegetation index



Publication title:	Biogeosciences; Katlenburg-Lindau
Volume:	15
Issue:	19
Pages:	5779-5800
Publication year:	2018
Publication date:	2018
Publisher:	Copernicus GmbH
Place of publication:	Katlenburg-Lindau
Country of publication:	Germany, Katlenburg-Lindau
Publication subject:	Earth Sciences, Biology
ISSN:	17264170
Source type:	Scholarly Journals
I an anna an af amhliantian.	
Language of publication:	English
Document type:	English Journal Article
Document type: Publication history :	English Journal Article
Document type: Publication history : Online publication date:	English Journal Article 2018-10-02
Language of publication: Document type: Publication history : Online publication date: DOI:	English Journal Article 2018-10-02 http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-5779-2018
Language of publication: Document type: Publication history : Online publication date: DOI: ProQuest document ID:	English Journal Article 2018-10-02 http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-5779-2018 2115159216
Language of publication: Document type: Publication history : Online publication date: DOI: ProQuest document ID: Document URL:	English Journal Article 2018-10-02 http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-5779-2018 2115159216 http://search.proquest.com.ezp- prod1.hul.harvard.edu/docview/2115159216?accountid=11311
Language of publication: Document type: Publication history : Online publication date: DOI: ProQuest document ID: Document URL: Copyright:	English Journal Article 2018-10-02 http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-5779-2018 2115159216 http://search.proquest.com.ezp- prod1.hul.harvard.edu/docview/2115159216?accountid=11311
Language of publication: Document type: Publication history : Online publication date: DOI: DOI: ProQuest document ID: Document URL: Copyright: Last updated:	English Journal Article 2018-10-02 http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-5779-2018 2115159216 http://search.proquest.com.ezp- prod1.hul.harvard.edu/docview/2115159216?accountid=11311 © 2018. This work is published under https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ (the "License"). Notwithstanding the ProQuest Terms and Conditions, you may use this content in accordance with the terms of the License.

LINKS Linking Service



Database copyright $\textcircled{\mbox{\scriptsize C}}$ 2019 ProQuest LLC. All rights reserved.

Terms and Conditions Contact ProQuest

